•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/559,880	CUTHBERTSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leah Schlientz	1618	
All Participants:	Status of Application	·	
(1) <u>Leah Schlientz</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Li Cai (Applicant's rep)</u> .	(4)		•
Date of Interview: 16 August 2007	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Claims discussed: Claim 8.		•	
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.		•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a sate record of the substance of	written summary of the substant	се
		• .	
		,	
1			
Ih 5~	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated the allowability of claim 8, but that art was found over the generic claims. Suggested that the case could be allowed if claim 8 were to be made an independent claim, and claims 9 - 11 were dependent on claim 8. Agreement was not reached. An Office Action detailing rejection of the generic claims is enclosed.